

April 21, 1959

[Redacted] STAT

Dear Bill:

Thanks for your letter of 17 April. I have recommended that your solution to the dissemination problem be followed with some representative of the Government Agency involved acting as a clearing agent on the security. I have also relayed your desire to publish "Inverse Gain Analysis" and recommended that you be allowed to do so forthwith. I have also relayed your willingness to aid as an unpaid consultant on the two additional boxes. May I suggest that Len Seader by copy of this letter request your advice on technical matters as they may arise.

I hope you will have every success in your new business. Should I be able to assist you in some way, please feel free to call on me. I have very much appreciated the good work that you have done on our project.

Best of regards, Bill.

Sincerely yours,

[Redacted]
JFS:bp

STAT

25 YEAR RE-REVIEW

CONFIDENTIAL

April 21, 1959

25X1

MEMORANDUM FOR: [redacted]

SUBJECT: Dissemination of Technical Data on Granger Box

I have talked with [redacted] He prefers not to disseminate the information but insists again that the U.S. effort needs this information and soon.

25X1

[redacted] has suggested that he and [redacted] present a joint paper to the Department of Defense at their Fourth Annual Symposium on Electronic Warfare to be held at the University of Michigan on September 30th, October 1 and 2, 1959. This meeting is a SECRET level meeting. Bill would write the paper, give credit to the Air Force for support of the project and Granger Associates as the Company under which the work was carried out, and clear the paper with whomever you should designate for security matters. The paper would then be read verbatim. This is not uncommon at a classified meeting. I expect to attend this meeting.

25X1

I recommend this as a solution to the problem of dissemination. Action should be taken soon since the paper must be cleared by you and submitted to the University of Michigan by 1 June 1959. What is your desire?

Bill has asked also if they could release a study paper that they produced while doing this work. It is entitled, "Inverse Gain Analysis". I have examined the paper and find no security problem. The paper deals with theoretical matters that could have been considered by anyone interested in ECM matters. How can we release this paper? He will publish as soon as someone of us gives him an approval.

Bill has offered to be consultant on the production phase of the boxes. I propose to use him through contacts that I would make to him rather than [redacted]-to-Granger contacts.

25X1

V

JFS:bp

CONFIDENTIAL

STAT

[Redacted]
April 23, 1959

MEMORANDUM FOR: [Redacted]

STAT

SUBJECT : Dissemination of Technical Data on Granger Box
REFERENCE : My Letter dated 21 April 1959 - same subject

Further discussion with [Redacted] STAT
in line with my telephone conversation with you on 23 April 1959 has modified
the reference paper slightly.

STAT

It is believed wisest to publish one paper only, i.e., one that includes the theoretical study "Inverse Gain Analysis" discussed in Para. 4 of reference letter as well as the box description and tests. This paper would be published as detailed in paragraph 2 and three of reference.

[Redacted] and I believe that we should review the paper before publication for technical comment back to the authors. In accordance with this, [Redacted] has started to compose the paper in order that the paper can be through our hands here on the West Coast at the earliest possible date. It is estimated that you will have the paper by 15 May for final security approval.

STAT

STAT

Sincerely,

[Redacted]
STAT

JFS:bp